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ABSTRACT

Background and purpose: The number of National Health Insurance (NHI) participants switching their primary healthcare (PHC) providers is increasing and dominated by self-paid participants. This switching could result in unequal distribution of NHI participants and amount of capitation among PHC providers. This study aims to explore the reasons underlying self-paid NHI participants to switch PHC providers.

Methods: This is a qualitative study using in-depth interviews with a total of 14 informants recruited purposively, consisted of ten NHI self-paid participants who had switched and four participants who intend to switch PHC providers. Informants were recruited by approaching NHI participants at BPJS counters and inviting participants through social media. Semi-structured interview guide incorporated the aspects of Consumer Switching Behavior Model. The interviews explored four aspects of participants’ intention to switch providers including inconvenience, service encounter failures, core healthcare service failures, and attraction by competitor. The verbatim transcripts were analyzed thematically.

Results: Self-paid NHI participants in this study cited four main reasons for switching PHC providers. The first reason is inconvenience in accessing PHC provider’s services due to long distance, long waiting times, unsuitable opening hours, and poor facilities. Secondly, patients cited the poor interaction between healthcare providers and patients specifically, lack of attentiveness, poor eye contact, poor delivery of health information, lack of consideration of patients’ opinions, and lack of responsiveness to patients’ complaints. The third reason is core healthcare service failures, including hasty examinations, inaccurate diagnoses, and errors in prescribing medication. Finally, informants reported that they wished to switch PHC providers due to the attractiveness of other providers, in terms of facilities availability, professionalism of healthcare providers, additional health programs, and ease of access to referral healthcare facilities.

Conclusion: Self-paid NHI participants’ intention to switch PHC providers was mainly attributed to the perceived poor healthcare quality of the provider and superiority of the others. Continuous quality improvement should be undertaken by PHC providers to increase participants’ loyalty.
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INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian Government has implemented Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional or National Health Insurance (NHI) since 1st of January 2014, in order to achieve universal health coverage.1 In 2017, there were 3,031,223 Bali residents registered as NHI participants, with 601,260 of them were in Denpasar.2 3 4 5 Presidential Regulation Number 19 Year 2016 Article 29 states that NHI participants are required to be registered at one PHC provider and have the right to choose their providers.4 In addition, they are allowed to move to another provider after being registered as participants at least for three months.4 In 2017, there were 119 PHC providers collaborating with the Implementing Agency of National Health Insurance or Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial (BPJS) Kesehatan in Denpasar, consisted of 11 public health centers or pusat kesehatan masyarakat (puskesmas), 60 private general practitioners, 22 dental practices, 19 primary healthcare clinics, 4 military clinics, and 3 police clinics. From June to November 2016, there were 2,844 transfer requests made by NHI participants in Denpasar, which dominated by self-paid health insurance participants. These changes could result in unequal distribution of NHI participants and amount of capitation among PHC providers, and the need for renewal of participants’ Kartu Indonesia Sehat or Indonesian Health Card.

Publications on the reasons underlying health insurance participants’ intention to switch PHC providers remain limited and mostly employed quantitative study. In addition, majority of studies investigated the factors contributed to healthcare facilities switching not specifically in health insurance participants. Those studies indicated an association between switching healthcare providers and factors such as the availability of facilities, waiting times, the distance of health
facilities, change place of residence, the quality of healthcare, opening hours, the influence of patient’s peer groups, convenience, core service failures, ethical problems, forced displacement, price, age, education, patient satisfaction and loyalty to a particular healthcare provider. The current study adopts a qualitative approach to explore self-paid NHI participants’ reasons underlying their decision to switch to alternative PHC providers.

METHODS
This is a qualitative study which data was collected through in-depth interviews in Denpasar, Bali from April to May 2018. There were a total of 14 participants recruited purposively consisting of ten self-paid NHI participants who had switched PHC providers, and four participants who intend to switch providers. The selection criteria are self-paid NHI participants who have been registered for more than three months, and have accessed their PHC providers. Informants were recruited by approaching NHI participants at BPSJS counters who met the study’s selection criteria, and inviting participants through social media. Then, informant consent was obtained prior to face-to-face interview. The interviews were conducted at an agreed location, lasted an average of 45-60 minutes and audio-recorded.

Semi-structured interview guide incorporated the aspects of Consumer Switching Behavior Model. The interviews explored four aspects of patients’ intention to switch PHC providers including inconvenience, service encounter failures, core health service failures and attraction by competitor. The records of interviews were transcribed as verbatim transcripts, which then read multiple times to familiarize with the ideas raised by the informants. Afterwards, the data were analyzed thematically with deductive approach. A priori themes emerged from Consumer Switching Behavior Model were divided into sub-themes which were then identified and coded in each of the transcripts. The internal validity of the data was ensured through triangulation by considering information from various perspectives of participants switching from public to private PHC providers and vice versa and of those from different insurance classes. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University/Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar on March 23, 2018.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Informant Characteristics
Informant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Informants consisted of ten self-paid NHI participants who had switched PHC providers, and four participants who intend to switch providers. Their age ranged from 25 to 52 years and the majority were female. Informants were high-school to university graduates, and predominantly employed in the private sector. Informants were from first and second insurance classes and have been enrolled for one to three years. Most participants switched from puskesmas to private clinics.

Reasons of switching primary healthcare providers
The interview data highlighted four key themes motivating self-insured NHI participants in Denpasar to switch PHC providers consisted of inconvenience in accessing services, poor quality of healthcare providers and patient interactions, inaccuracies in health service provision and attractiveness of other providers. A summary of themes and sub-themes identified from the interviews is presented in Table 2.

Inconvenience in accessing primary healthcare services
A number of informants reported experiencing inconvenience in accessing their previous or current providers in terms of operating hours, the distance to reach providers, waiting times and availability of facilities. These represent the main reasons why participants decided to switch providers. The lack of suitable operating hours of their providers consisted of a non 24-hour service provision and conflicting operating hours with participant’s working hours.

“I work early; I switched because the clinic’s morning opening times are very limited. Also, my community obligations don’t operate on a firm schedule, and so, I chose to see a private doctor because they are open in the evening.”

(PP-01)

Most informants consider PHC provider’s operating times very important since their availability to attend a doctor’s appointment is subject to working hours, social activities and unpredictable time of sickness. Informants reported their preference to providers offering a 24-hour service. This concurs with the finding of a study in Lhokseumawe, that NHI participants had a tendency to select primary healthcare facilities based on their opening hours and the availability of doctors who can be accessed at any time.

Another key factor highlighted by the informants was the distance involved in travelling to PHC providers. Informants reported that distant providers caused difficulties in reaching them when requiring medical assistance. Also, a number of
Table 1  Characteristics of informants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code of informants</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Previous providers</th>
<th>Current providers</th>
<th>Insurance Classes</th>
<th>Number of years in NHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-01</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-02</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-03</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-04</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-05</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-06</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-07</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-08</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-09</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>High School</td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-01</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-02</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Private clinic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-03</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-04</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>High School</td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Puskesmas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Informant codes: PP = have switched providers; IP = intend to switch providers

Table 2  Summary of the themes and sub-themes emerging from the interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Sub-themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inconvenience in accessing PHC services</td>
<td>Provider’s operating hours conflict with participant’s working hours, long distance to reach providers, long waiting times, incomplete facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality of doctor-patient interactions</td>
<td>Lack of health centre staff’ attentiveness, eye contact, unclear delivery of information about treatment and medication, failure to consider patient’s opinions, poor responses to patients’ complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core healthcare service failures</td>
<td>Hasty examinations, inaccurate diagnoses and prescription of medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The appeal of other clinics</td>
<td>Excellent facilities, health professional, range of health programs, and ease of access to referral healthcare facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Informants reported they had switched from their previous providers because they had changed place of residence due to work demands and decided to switch to an easier-to-reach clinic.

“Actually, I moved for work reasons. So I switched clinics to one closer to my new house.” (PP-09)

This finding is similar to other study conducted in Lhokseumawe and Lombok indicated that distance is one of the primary consideration in selecting a primary healthcare provider. 6,12,13

A number of informants stated they spent over an hour to meet the doctor at their current PHC providers. Some of them even felt disadvantaged due to their lost of opportunities to perform other activities which then motivated them to switch to closer providers.

“...I waited between one and two hours [to see a doctor] because there were so many patients. So, I had to write off lots of work that day...” (IP-02)

When compared with standard waiting times for outpatient services (60 minutes), the waiting times reported by informants in the present study exceeded the standard. 14 Further, a number of studies also show that long waiting times may raise...
patient’s physical and emotional stress, negatively impact their health, and reduce the efficacy of the treatment they eventually receive. Moreover, long waiting times also indirectly contribute to lower productivity due to lost work time.  

Another factor that participants cited for switching providers were the limited facilities offered by their previous providers, specifically inadequate parking area and waiting room space.

“The parking is terrible and the waiting room only has four seats. It’s stuffy and narrow… many people [waiting to see a doctor] wait outside so they don’t get too hot.” (PP-01)

The availability and quality of medical and supporting facilities represent a tangible dimension of service quality which appears to be uppermost in patient's minds when accessing healthcare and greatly affects their satisfaction levels.  Adequate numbers and well-functioning healthcare facilities will be able to optimize patient satisfaction towards healthcare.

Poor quality of healthcare providers and patient interactions

Informants reported that the poor quality of doctor-patient interactions at their previous PHC providers represents a major driver to switch providers. This includes concerns about doctors’ manner when dealing with patients, clarity of information regarding the examination results, patient’s involvement in decision-making about treatment options and healthcare providers’ responses to patients’ complaints.

All informants expressed that they wished to be greeted cordially and acknowledged by the health centre staff. However, some informants reported receiving poor service in this respect, with health staff displaying a lack of friendliness and failing to maintain eye contact during communication.

“They [the health centre staff] never smile, if I smile, they just ignore it and carry on talking without a smile.” (PP-09)

“They [the health centre staff] don’t look me in the eye. The doctor seems like he’s too busy talking and writing to explain [about the patient’s condition and treatment]”. (PP-04)

In addition to concerns about the way staff dealing with patients, informants also felt that some doctors did not provide a clear explanation regarding their diagnosis and health condition during the consultation, primarily because these doctors tended to use medical terms that could not be understood by the patients.

“Sometimes doctors use medical language, so I don’t understand.” (PP-04)

Moreover, one informant reported that the doctor did not consider his opinion regarding referral, resulting in the informant failing to secure a referral to the hospital he requested and the doctor failed to provide an explanation for the reasons of his decision.

“I asked my doctor for a referral to a hospital X but he directed me to hospital Y. Whether the referral process is like this in reality, I don’t know. But I felt that at least he [the doctor] could have explained it to me. I was really disappointed.” (PP-08)

Further, another informant felt that the staff at his PHC provider were unresponsive to his needs; explaining that he was asked to sit in the examination room with the doctor who did not begin the consultation until his medical records arrived.

“The response is rather slow. I was asked to sit in the treatment room with the doctor, who did not ask me anything about my health because he was still waiting for my health records to arrive. I think that the doctor should have used this time to ask me about my symptoms and generally chat about my health. When my health records arrived, the doctor finally began asking me hastily.” (IP-03)

Health workers must be multi-skilled, and besides their medical knowledge, one of the key skills in which they must be proficient is interacting and communicating with patients in a professional and effective manner in order to establish an effective therapeutic doctor-patient relationship. Patients tend to be dissatisfied with doctors with poor communication skills, which negatively impacts patient loyalty, eroding patients’ trust in their doctor, which in turn, can affect patient’s adherence to therapy. Therefore, one area that should be targeted to optimize patients’ satisfaction is by raising their staff’s ability to interact and communicate professionally and effectively.
Core healthcare service failures
The results show that informants were concerned about three specific aspects of healthcare at PHC providers including the duration of doctor-patient consultations, the accuracy of diagnosis and the prescription of medication. These represent key factors influencing informants’ decision to switch providers. A number of informants reported that consultations were carried out in a hurried fashion, which led them to feel they had not received a comprehensive health service and unable to discuss their health concerns properly with their doctor.

“The doctor did the examination as quickly as possible. When I was examined, I felt that I couldn’t ask him any questions even though I still wanted to ask more about food or other restrictions.” (PP-09)

Sufficient time is required to enable effective communication between doctors and patients. Limited consultation times might impact the clarity of information received by the patients. Moreover, the doctor is unlikely to be able to gather a sufficient amount of information from the patient to establish an accurate diagnosis and effective treatment plan.22,23

A number of informants in the current study also revealed their health condition had failed to improve after receiving treatment at their previous PHC providers. This had driven them to seek treatment elsewhere, in which the diagnosis is different from the previous doctor’s.

“I saw a doctor at the puskesmas that morning. He said it was just inflammation of the skin. Then, later in the day, I began to feel weak and my skin felt really itchy. I went to a specialist – it turned out I had smallpox. I was a bit disappointed and felt a little annoyed.” (IP-03)

Misdiagnosis often results in inappropriate treatment that consequently slows down patients’ recovery. In addition, misdiagnosis erodes patients’ trust in their doctor’s medical ability and reduces patient loyalty to the clinic where they were misdiagnosed. Inaccurate diagnosis is also related to patients’ views on the quality of the healthcare provided to them in terms of assurance. Therefore, healthcare providers are duty bound to provide patients with a sense of security in their treatment and ensure patients’ health is not threatened by inaccurate diagnosis and prescription of inappropriate medication.17 Greater patient comfort, assurance for a successful recovery as well as doctors’ ability to treat patients professionally increase patients’ confidence in accessing services of PHC providers.17,24

Further, a number of informants reported having received inadequate information about how they should use medication prescribed to them. Meanwhile, another informant complained of being prescribed unsuitable medication that he decided to seek a second opinion from another doctor.

“After I had been given the medicine, there was no other communication. Just take this medication once a day, and this one three times a day and the name of my condition was written on the medication packaging. I was unsure if I had to finish the course of pills or what. They didn’t clearly tell me what I should do.” (PP-09)

“I took the medicine my doctor gave me for my skin inflammation – it didn’t work. Instead, it got worse, my skin still hurt and was itchy. So I went to a skin specialist and he said it was chickenpox. He gave me chickenpox medicine. A few days later, it improved.” (IP-03)

Providing proper, comprehensive medication information to patients is important in supporting a rational use of medication. Improper medication information can lead to irrational use of medication and negatively affect patients’ treatment outcomes.25 Furthermore, it can also diminish patients’ trust and loyalty to healthcare facilities. This finding is similar to study from other countries suggesting that the appropriate use of medication benefits patients in terms of recovery as well as in indirectly increasing patient trust and loyalty.26

The appeal of other primary healthcare providers
Aside from the quality-related factors discussed above, the present study also found that informants’ desire to switch PHC providers was also motivated by the appeal of other providers offering superior facilities, more professional healthcare staff, a range of health programs and a closer distance to referral healthcare facilities. An informant who switched providers from general practitioner to puskesmas claimed that his decision to switch is due to the better facilities offered by puskesmas.

“In terms of facilities, puskesmas are indeed more sophisticated. They use a computerised ticket queuing system and they call patients over a loudspeaker while the general practitioner still uses the old, paper-ticket system. Also, puskesmas offer an emergency-room service so they can handle emergency cases quickly.” (PP-03)
The PHC providers collaborating with BPJS Kesehatan should have their own competitive advantages to be able to attract NHI participants. To improve their competitiveness in the NHI era, these healthcare facilities should focus on developing a quality and responsive healthcare services. Provision of adequate facilities will allow providers to provide convenient and comfortable healthcare services for the communities they serve which will enhance patient loyalty to the providers.27

Health workers’ attitudes and abilities represent a key consideration affecting patients’ decision to switch healthcare providers. Friendliness of staff, competent doctors and suitable opening times are among the main factors which motivated some participants in the present study to switch providers.

“The staff at the clinic where I want to move to are all friendly and smile at everyone. The standard of service is also better, much better, in fact. They have competent doctors, are open 24 hours a day, and have the doctor that I want.” (IP-02)

Health workers play an important role as the gatekeepers to healthcare services. Better patient-staff interaction and better staff competency will improve patient trust in receiving treatment. This finding is consistent with studies conducted across eight large districts in Indonesia, which concur that in order to achieve public confidence in PHC providers, they must demonstrate insight, competence, and expertise, in order to cultivate patient loyalty towards health care professionals.28

The standard of healthcare services received by NHI participants at PHC providers is not only determined by the core health services offered but also by other programs that patients find appealing. A number of participants reported that they were attracted to particular providers because of the range of health-related programs they offer.

“They have exercise club for a particular disease. This is one of the attractions of this clinic. At my previous puskesmas they only offer standard examinations.” (PP-01)

The growth in the number of PHC providers offering various health programs is part of a strategy to improve community trust and satisfaction. These findings are similar to a study conducted at the Puskesmas Jagir in Surabaya which found that community tend to choose interesting and innovative health programs which also meet the needs of the community. The availability of such health programs improve the attractiveness of healthcare providers.29

The location of PHC providers that close to referral healthcare facilities also drives patients to switch providers. Informants in the present study perceived that a close location of PHC to referral facilities would speed up the referral process; especially in terms of distance and travel time.

“I chose my present healthcare clinic because it is run by the hospital, so if I need a referral to a higher-level health facility, it’s all under one roof.” (PP-02)

“My doctor’s practice is close to the hospital, so if anything were to happen [with my health] I can go straight there [hospital]. If I need to see a specialist doctor, I only have to cross the road [to access the hospital services].” (PP-06)

Informants in the present study reported that the ease of access to healthcare facilities in terms of location also adds appeal to a particular PHC providers. This finding is supported by the results of a study conducted in Gianyar, Bali, which indicates that PHC providers strategically and conveniently located close to referral healthcare facilities enhance patients’ sense of comfort and safety. This is because such providers are able to meet their patients’ healthcare needs and can provide a quick referral to a specialist.30

The limitation of this study is in the lack of variation of informants regarding the health insurance classes that only consisted of first and second health insurance classes. Therefore, it has not been able to describe the reasons of switching providers among third class self-paid NHI participants. Moreover, this study was conducted in Denpasar that may have different circumstance compared to other regions. Therefore, the results of this study can only be used as a theoretical reference for a similar context or situation.

CONCLUSION

The main reasons underlying self-paid NHI participants to switch PHC providers namely inconvenience in accessing healthcare services, poor-quality of doctor-patient interactions, core healthcare service failures, and the appeal of other PHC providers. Thus, continuous quality improvement should be undertaken by PHC providers to improve participants’ loyalty.
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